

BRIDGE WITHOUT A PARTNER

A VARIATION THAT MEETS A WANT LONG FELT.

The Partner as a Source of Mistakes Eliminated by Four Hand Bridge—Scoring the Worst Feature, but That Is Made Easy by the Method Explained Here.

One of the peculiarities of bridge is that all the mistakes that are made, all the rubbers that are lost, all the declarations that are disastrous and all the tricks that get away are clearly the fault of the partner. All that is necessary to confirm this statement is to listen for a moment to the remarks made after every social game for prizes.

"If it hadn't been for that fool woman making it diamonds with only five to the ten and not another trick in her hand, I should have been top score." Or:

"I am sure it was a good no trumper, and she had the ace of hearts and two honors in clubs in her hand, and yet she lost two tricks on it! Why, if I and played the hand—"

Every person who has played bridge for any length of time must acknowledge that the majority of the tricks lost in the play are due to the partner's lack of understanding or insight. It is astonishing how few partners can see through the backs of your cards, and then they are so careless in minor details.

They seem to have no idea of the make that would best fit your hand, they pay no attention to the score or they do not see your echo or they don't know the eleven rule, or something of that kind. It is their fault.

When you are tied to a partner of this sort all through a rubber it is bad enough, but when you cut the worst player at the table time after time and see the smile of mingled satisfaction and derision that flits over the faces of your adversaries when they realize that they have not cut either one of you for a partner—why, it is perfectly maddening. Of course they do not see that the shocking plays were all your partner's fault, and they look as if they thought you might have had something to do with the loss of the last rubber.

If you had any legitimate excuse you could quit the table. All that keeps you at the table for another rubber is the hope that you will cut another partner next time, so that the adversaries shall see whose fault it was and shall realize that you are the best player at the table, but your partner kills you.

Well, they have invented a variation of bridge which does away with all these troubles and puts each player at the table on a perfectly even footing. They have not given it a name yet, but it is an American improvement on a game they call king's bridge in England. The improvement is so great that it is almost another game. For want of a better title most people call it four hand bridge.

The great objection to it so far has been the very complicated process of adjusting the scores at the end. In general it seems as if nothing short of a system of experts could figure out what each owes the other, and mistakes are the rule rather than the exception. Skat players have had the same difficulty for fifty years. The SUN proposes to set them both at ease and show them how easy it is when you know how. But first for the game.

Four hand bridge is for four players and the table is complete with four. These four play with cards, no money, and no loss, so that every one knows just how long the game will last. There are no rubbers, each hand being played for all it is worth. This prevents the wicked partner from making it spades because he is twenty-eight up when you have four aces in your hand. The same thing is further prevented by the fact that the dealer has no partner. Many persons have insisted that dummy should be a dummy, and should leave the room as soon as he has laid down his hand. In four hand bridge there is no such person as dummy, so that problem is solved.

The four players cut for choice of seats and cards and the first deal, although the deal is not worth anything. The scores are kept in four separate columns, one for each player. It is not necessary to have different divisions for tricks and honors, because they are added together and put down as a lump sum at the end of each deal.

The deal passes to the left as usual. The dealer in each hand is the one to make the declaration, and he is not bound by the rules as in ordinary bridge. If the dealer passes the make the player sitting opposite him, who is not his partner, remember, but simply and absolutely a dummy, come to the table and make the declaration. The dealer who has nothing to do with the game for that deal, is obliged to sort the cards he finds in front of him and to declare according to the following invariable rules:

If he finds three or four aces it is a no trumper, no matter what the rest of the cards may be. If he cannot find at least three aces it cannot be no trumps, even if he finds all the kings and queens in the pack.

When there are not three aces the longest suit must be named for the trump. If two suits are equal in length the trump must be the one with the greatest number of trumps on the cards, counting the ace as 11, the king, queen, jack and 10 as 10 each, and all other cards at their face value. If two suits are equal in length and pip value, the one of the higher rank in scoring value must be declared, hearts outranking diamonds and clubs outranking spades.

With such a declaration the dealer, for instance, the declaration being passed by the dealer—H, A, K, Q, J, C, A, K, Q, J, D, S, K, J, Q, J, S—the declaration must be spades, because it has the greatest number of trumps on the cards, counting the ace as 11, the king, queen, jack and 10 as 10 each, and all other cards at their face value.

If a declaration is laid on the table when dummy's cards are not yet dealt, which is correct, the adversary who has laid a card can take back his hand and demand that the declaration be changed, or he can let the declaration stand and play the hand. If the third hand plays to the first trick the erroneous declaration stands.

The play is the same as in the ordinary game, except that the player sitting opposite the dealer must not attempt to prevent him from revoking. It is to the interest of this player to have the dealer lose, as will be the case if he has the cards in his hand. At the same time it is to his interest not to have the adversaries of the dealer win, as he will have to pay them if they do so. It is to his interest to keep his mouth shut and not up to his true character, a dummy that is blind and deaf.

After the play of the hand is finished nothing is put down on the pad except the dealer's score. If he wins he is so much plus; if he loses he is so much minus.

Suppose he makes two by cards in hearts and loses simple honors, he puts down a zero, or the sign of equality, just to show that the deal was played, although he scores nothing on it. If he should lose the odd at no trump but hold thirty aces he would score the difference to his credit, 30 plus.

Each successive score is added to or subtracted from the preceding one, so that the bottom figure in the column shows the exact state of the player's score. At the end of the four deals, each player having had a declaration once, the one who dealt the first hand of all sits still. The player sitting opposite him changes places with the dealer, and the dealer then passes to the left; that is, the player who sat opposite the first dealer of all, the player who dealt the second hand, still, and the one opposite him and the one on his



ONE OF THE CAUSES OF THE RIOT ON THE LONGCHAMP RACECOURSE IN PARIS.

left change places, the deal passing to the left as before.

The following diagram will show the changes of position brought about if we suppose that A dealt the first hand for the first round:

C D B A C D B A

On the second round B dealt the first hand. On the third round D dealt first. After changing positions the players may cut for the first deal if they prefer it.

At the end of each deal each player will have had each of the others opposite him for four deals and the game will be at an end. The next thing is to balance the scores. In order to do so how much each player wins or loses, and it is this balancing that has been the stumbling block to all who have so far tried the game. The same objection has applied to skat, in which the scores must be adjusted in the same way.

Three ways are taught in all the text books, and they are given as if there were no other possible. Which is the easiest of the three depends on the arithmetical skill of the player who keeps the score, but all of them seem to require the services of an expert accountant. The simplest is probably the following, which will serve as a proof of the correctness of those that are to follow.

Suppose the players to be called A, B, C and D. Draw a line under the final score of each as it appears on the pad and then, starting with A, subtract from his winnings what he owes B. Put A down plus and B down minus. Do the same with C's score as compared to A's, and then with D's. That will finish up A's account. Then balance B's account with C and D, and finally C's score with D. We are then ready to add up, and this is what we find:

A +108 +92 +14 D = 86

+76 -76 -164 -62

+14 -14 -48 -34

+82 -78 -72 +12

-312 +8 -394 -16

This is the method which is used by almost all skat players and which has been the favorite for nearly a hundred years.

Another method, recommended because it requires less figuring and is free from the objection of having to add columns, is to contain both minus and plus items at the end, is the following:

Deduct the lowest score of the four from all the others and then add up the remainders thus found. Their sum is the total loss of the player who has the lowest score.

To find what each of the others owes or wins multiply these remainders by the number of players. From the product of each multiplication subtract the points lost by the lowest score. The remainders are the points won or lost by the others.

To illustrate this process let us assume that the final scores of A, B, C and D were as before. This gives us C as the lowest score of the four, and we proceed thus:

A +108 +92 +14 D = 86

+76 -76 -164 -62

+14 -14 -48 -34

+82 -78 -72 +12

-312 +8 -394 -16

In this method, which is a favorite with some players, if the lowest score is a minus it must be added to the others, because the amount lost by the low score must have been won by each of the others, in addition to which they must be paid for what they have won themselves on their own scores.

When two players are tied for low score both must be put down to zero, but only one subtracted from the scores of the other players. The sum of the remainders after this subtraction must be charged to each of the low scores equally. Some persons think it should be divided, but this is a mistake. For instance:

A +108 +92 +14 D = 86

+76 -76 -164 -62

+14 -14 -48 -34

+82 -78 -72 +12

-312 +8 -394 -16

When two players are tied for low score both must be put down to zero, but only one subtracted from the scores of the other players. The sum of the remainders after this subtraction must be charged to each of the low scores equally. Some persons think it should be divided, but this is a mistake. For instance:

A +108 +92 +14 D = 86

+76 -76 -164 -62

+14 -14 -48 -34

+82 -78 -72 +12

-312 +8 -394 -16

The third method of adjusting the scores is suited only to three players. It consists in doubling the winnings of each player, as he has won from two, and then deducting the sum of the other players' winnings. Thus:

A +108 +92 +14 D = 86

+76 -76 -164 -62

+14 -14 -48 -34

+82 -78 -72 +12

-312 +8 -394 -16

In this method the 108 deducted from

A's score is the sum of B's 92 and C's 14. B's 182 is the sum of A's 108 and C's 14, and so on.

These three methods have been the only ones known to card players, and they have struggled along with them for years. All German skat players and most of the bridge players use the method first explained, in spite of its cumbersome nature.

Many persons who play four hand bridge do not take the trouble to figure out the scores at all, on account of the bother, but simplify matters by calling the top score the winner and allowing him to collect the difference from each of the losers. While it is quite fair for the top score, it is rather hard on the others, as will be evident if we take the results of the first example given. A would collect 76 from B, 164 from C and 12 from D, giving him his 312; but this would be rather hard on B, who is really a winner of 8 points, instead of a loser of 76.

The method suggested by The SUN, and which will be found equally applicable to four hand bridge or to skat or to any other game in which the scores have to be adjusted, is a simple and easy one. It is based on the fact that the sum of the scores of all the players must be zero, and that the sum of the scores of all the players must be zero.

If each of these last figures is multiplied by four it will give exactly the same result as that obtained by the previous methods, but as the larger figures are never necessary, the method suggested by The SUN is simpler and is shorter or simpler than this method of averages.

In all skat tournaments the rule is to play for a fourth of a cent, and the sum of the scores of all the players must be zero. The larger figures given by the old methods have been obtained they have to be divided by four to reduce them to dollars and cents. By the small area are counted from left to right, the amount in cents is arrived at immediately.

With this simplification of the scoring the great objection to four hand bridge is removed and there is no reason why it should not be a popular game for those who are continually victimized by bad partners.

HOW THE STARS ARE COUNTED.

Use Made of the Microscope and of Photographic Plates.

From the London Daily Mail.

The gigantic but fascinating task which J. Franklin-Adams, F. R. S., has undertaken of counting the myriads of stars in the southern hemisphere, and these with the series dealing with the northern hemisphere will number more than 200. Each plate, which is 15 inches square, records from 20,000 to 30,000 starry images, and on a rough calculation the total number of stars photographed will prove to be about 23,000,000.

The task of counting the stars on the plates has already been commenced, and that work together with the astronomical work will take the astronomer about ten years. The method of counting the stars on the plates was explained yesterday by R. J. Mitchell, Mr. Franklin-Adams's chief assistant.

"First taking one of the plates," said Mr. Mitchell, "we move it across a graduated grating contained in the field of a high powered microscope. A horizontal strip in the grating is then examined and the stars in the small area are counted from left to right. Of course it is impossible to insure absolute accuracy, for there is the possibility that a star may be counted twice or missed altogether."

"Then there is the difficulty presented by mechanical specks on the plates, which may at first be taken for stars; but Mr. Franklin-Adams has a method by means of which all stars above the tenth magnitude can be differentiated from dust specks. Mr. Franklin-Adams and his assistants check one another in the counting, but there is always a slight difference in the totals, due of course to the personal equation as represented by the operator."

Slightly to count the stars on an average plate, apart from noting their photographic magnitudes, occupies the time of two men for more than a fortnight if they work seven hours a day. In taking the plates Mr. Franklin-Adams used a triple achromatic lens which he had made in the northern hemisphere the minimum exposure was 2 hours 20 minutes and in the southern, with the clearer atmosphere 2 hours.

THEY ARE TANAGRA ROBES

HERE ARE TWO OF THE GOWNS PARIS WOULDN'T STAND.

But the Style is the Fashion, Says an Expert—Directory Costumes Worn Without Corset or Petticoats, but Still Behind Record of Mme. Visconti.

PARIS, May 16.—"I cannot understand how any one can profess to be scandalized by my creations." The speaker is a Boulevard Hausmann dressmaker, and her subject is the four living models whose appearance at the Longchamp races last Sunday in gowns that fitted them as though their bodies had been sheathed in silk scabbards created a sensation.

"Fashion," she continued, "is not evolving toward this style; it has evolved and has reached it."

"First came the ordinary dresses, bodice and skirt separate at the waist. Then the waist moved higher and higher until we reached the Empire style."

"To-day we are as Directoire as it is possible to be. The dress is made more and more of a single shade of color and more and more moulded to the form."

"I do not, however, call these dresses, about which so much uncalled for excitement has been raised, Directoire dresses. They are Tanagra robes."

"Now, how can any one find anything improper in such gowns? They are only slightly open below the knee on the left side and the background is of black silk. The dress is peculiar in this, that it must be worn without either petticoat or corset, but over a sort of silk combination stiffened with whalebones."

It may be an exaggeration to say that "we are to-day as Directoire as it is possible to be," but a visit to some of the great establishments in the world of dress, including Paquin's and Worth's, proved that the latest masterpieces of these eminent authorities show distinct symptoms of Directoire style, although nothing so startling as the scabbard dresses shown at Longchamp was to be seen. It is very evident, however, that to be well dressed will henceforth have to have a figure that can only be described as attenuated.

It is not likely, though, that any modern women will equal the record of Mme. Visconti, who, like Mme. Tallier, was one of the queens of beauty of the Directoire period. One day as she walked in one of the summer gardens then in vogue the company present began betting what the weight of her clothes, including her footgear and jewelry, would be. After much discussion the total was estimated at two pounds.

Mme. Visconti smiled disdainfully and went into a summer house, where some of the ladies present were summoned to act as judges. Scales were sent for, and it was found that dress, jewels, cameos and all only just turned the scale at one pound.

THIRTY YEARS IN THE WILDS.

Richard Payer Writing the Story of His Explorations in South America.

The South American explorer Richard Payer, settled in a suite of rooms in Vienna surrounded by many hundreds of books, maps, sketches and water colors that he had during thirty years in the field. He has closed his career of scientific investigation and is now preparing the results for publication.

His younger brother, Julius R. Payer, commanded, with Weyprecht, the Arctic expedition that discovered Franz Josef Land, and all the world knows his name. But few of the general public have heard of Richard Payer, though his work has been imperably greater, for he has done more than any other man to collect detailed and accurate information of the vast Amazon basin.

Many scientific men know of his work, for a condensation of his scientific results has from time to time been published in scientific journals. He has enriched the maps of South America, and some of his collections are going to the museum of Europe. But Payer is unique in this regard. He has waited till the end of his active career to begin, when he is 72, the collation of the results he has achieved in a lifetime.

Payer went to the Amazon in 1876 without any financial backing and poor as scientific men usually are. He was well grounded in the best methods of research, especially as relates to botany, geography, surveying and the making of a map. He was a naturalist, and in his talent as a draughtsman and water colorist, and he acquired the Portuguese language before leaving for Brazil.

With this equipment he plunged into the wilds of the Amazon basin to make his living as well as to work for science. He got along for the first few years by giving part of his time to logging, but long ago he gave up the saw and turned to the study of the flora and fauna of the Amazon and the demand for his collections in Europe enabled him to give all his time to his work.

Payer has crossed South America in its broadest part from ocean to ocean four times in small boats or on foot. His work covered most parts of the Amazon and a portion of the Orinoco basins.

The basis of his work was the study and collection of plants, and many of his discoveries have been of great value to science. But to his fields he gave almost equal attention. He was especially interested in studying the economic uses of plants and the distribution and nature of oils and how they were used.

He is credited with valuable suggestions concerning the collection of gums and rubber and their preparation for market. He studied all the forms of the land, the courses of the rivers, and every unknown tribe he met.

Many visitors to the world's fair at St. Louis saw a large and beautiful map of the State of Amazonas on which the distribution of its natural resources was effectively laid down. The map was the handiwork of Richard Payer, based chiefly upon his own research, and nothing else has given so good an idea of the riches of that vast region. He has supplied many corrections to the existing maps of the northern half of Brazil.

Many thousands of plants or collected by Payer have been sent, living or dried, to Europe and America, and he has been in the botanical gardens or museums of London, Berlin and Brussels. One of the greatest features of his work is the enormous number of drawings of plants and natives which he did in water colors. They are regarded as superior to modern photographs because the natural colors are faithfully rendered.

Payer is a vigorous old man, still full of enthusiasm, and the prospects are good that he will live to tell the story of his life work that he is now preparing.

What Becomes of the Golf Balls.

From the Grand Magazine.

In the afternoon when the ditches are dug up, the golf balls are taken to the link. There is a place where many of the gentlemen send their balls over. One takes a basket of apples with her and when a ball comes near her she puts it in her basket and the ball is taken to the link. The gentleman comes to look for it if he can't find it and they think it must have gone down stream.

Almost every foreigner has lost a dog or dog, and even a captain who was three days on a dog had to be rescued. The first day he put his foot on land.



A SECOND EXAMPLE OF THE NEW DIRECTOIRE FASHIONS.

ROYAL WEDDING IN RUSSIA.

Pomp and Ceremony at the Marriage of a Grand Duchess.

ST. PETERSBURG, May 10.—The wedding of a Russian Grand Duchess and a Swedish Prince is not of itself of much importance, but to a spectator of the series of gorgeous ceremonies there is much of interest. Compared with this wedding celebration similar affairs in the rest of Europe are simply ordinary bourgeois Sunday afternoon entertainments. The roocco palace of Tsarskoe-Selo is gorgeous, but the palace of Kremlin would be a more fitting place for the ceremony which has just been concluded. In that marvellous palace, which has no counterpart elsewhere, those curious wedding crowns and robes and veils and traditions of every sort would have been perfectly in place.

It was a most interesting event, for all that if one looks at the whole thing from the point of picturesque novelty. First to be noticed is the gigantic apartment in which the wedding banquet and great court ball were held. It is called the Catherine Salon and gives one the impression of being fitted up entirely in gold. This furnished an admirable setting to the various processions and presentation ceremonies. During the banquet more than 200 persons sat at the tables. It gave one quite a shock to see the dignified bearded court chamberlains standing behind the chairs of the royal personages. No doubt this was the custom everywhere in times gone by, but now one looks upon a court official in quite a different light. Here, however, they were put to their original occupations.

The Czar and the King and other royalties looked rather plain, as usual, in the midst of this Eastern court panorama. The bridegroom especially, in a naval lieutenant's uniform, would have been lost altogether but for the fact of his being tall and carrying a couple of Russian orders, notably the highly decorative and sparkling collar of St. Andrew.

The procession from the Catherine apartment to the chapel for the ceremony was the key of the performance and a particularly fine opportunity to take stock of the courtiers taking part, the uniforms and dresses. As the court ladies' Russian dresses were most interesting and highly becoming when paired off with semi-Oriental uniforms of some male participants. These dresses consist of a carmine colored mantle, gold embroidered, and the diadem-like Russian headdress from which flows a white veil reaching almost to the feet. The dresses of the visiting royal ladies, although highly finished Paris gowns, looked rather plain beside the court ladies' garb.

About the dress and dressing of the bride there are many accounts. The bride was dressed in a white gown, and the ceremony was followed by a ball in the court. The bridegroom was dressed in a naval lieutenant's uniform, and the ceremony was followed by a ball in the court.

The marriage according to the orthodox ritual was celebrated by the Metropolitan and a whole staff of popes in a handsome chapel in blue and gold filled with palms and white lilacs. The singing and impressive ritual made it a very interesting part of the day. The Lutheran service that followed was very plain, as might be imagined, although the Bishop who performed the ceremony was attired in mitre and a robe which vied with the Metropolitan's in color and richness.

Upon this followed the dinners and banquets and balls. The brilliant procession moved from one function to another as tradition ordered. One little incident deserving to be mentioned by way of illustration was the ball following the marriage and after the royalties had danced or rather walked the polonaise, a small table with a couple of fresh decks in it was carried into the ballroom to the surprise of all the strangers. The object, it appears, was Catherine II.'s card table, which was always present at the games, although of course never used for its original purpose.

The two principal victims of all this fuss and ceremony were the young people who were left to themselves after three days of festivities. Before it was all over they had to stand for two and a half hours in a file of people paraded past and were introduced. That last trial ended the long drawn out wedding.

No Place for Dogs.

From the Japan Chronicle.

Is it impossible in Japan to keep a good dog? I have twice had my dogs disappear in a seemingly miraculous way.

As I am well aware that there is a great demand for dog skins, especially those of young dogs, we have been careful in having our dog watched; nevertheless, he disappeared this morning.

Almost every foreigner has lost a dog or dog, and even a captain who was three days on a dog had to be rescued. The first day he put his foot on land.

LUCINDA'S EXPERIENCES.

Her Latest Quite the Most Novel and Extraordinary of All.

"I have told you," said Lucinda, "of some of my experiences in city cars, of how men have got up to give me a seat, of how once when I was standing up a man gave up his strap to me to hold on by, and of how once in an elevated car a man who was standing leaning against the back of a cross seat stood aside to give the back to me, but more extraordinary than any of those experiences was one I had yesterday on a car in the subway."

"This was the forward car of the train and I had taken it partly because it was a steel car and partly because I thought I would be more likely to get a seat there, but when I got in I found all the seats taken and so I thought I would walk on up to the front end and look out ahead there for the first time."

"You know that's a most fascinating sight, the tunnel as you run through it with the train in rapid motion. You swing around curves where you can see ahead but a little distance and you wonder at the courage of the motorman in taking it at speed, and then all of a sudden you open up a long stretch of straight track with its lights set at regular distances in the tunnel's roof and stretching along interminably, and you rush past stations—if it's an express train, as this one was."

"But my enjoyment of this wondrous underground spectacle was marred a little because I had to make an effort to see it, which came about in this way:

"Ahead of me when I entered the car was a man who marched straight forward and took the forward strap of all, up at the front end of the car on the left hand side, the forward strap on the other side being occupied, and I got the next strap back of this man that had thus gone in ahead of me. So to look out ahead through the front end of the car I had to hold on to my strap and swing out toward the aisle to look past that man in front."

"You have that situation in your mind clearly? Now let me tell you what happened next."

"In about a minute this man who had come in ahead of me and who was now holding by the strap ahead seemed to discover or to realize that he was obstructing my view; and do you know what he did? He stepped back a few inches and then he moved forward and took the strap that I had held back of him. So to look out ahead through the front end of the car I had to hold on to my strap and swing out toward the aisle to look past that man in front."

"Now I had the front strap and my view ahead along the tunnel was quite unobstructed; but at the next station there came into the car a man who came clear to the forward end of it and who interested in the marvellous show ahead, leaned up against the door jamb ahead of me, something that I hadn't thought of, but which cut off my view again, as the other man hanging to the strap ahead had done. And do you know what this man did?"

"In about a minute he moved away, as the first man had done, and for precisely the same reason. And a little later another man who came and stood in the doorway in front of me moved away in exactly the same manner."

"So here were three men who one after the other had moved out of my line of vision, and yet without a word or a sign had denied themselves a pleasure in order to give a pleasure to me. Now, I don't pretend to be a psychologist or anything like that, you know, but I figure it something like this:

"Perhaps I am doing them an injustice, but I don't think of any of these men if they had been seated would have given up his seat to me if I had come along casually and stood in front of him, because in that situation he would have been governed by the force of habit. But in the situation I have described it was different."

"Here all of us, the men and myself, were interested in something novel; and in moving aside for me they were prompted not by mere politeness but by a spontaneous instinctive thoughtfulness of me in this novel situation they were moved by a private, original impulse that might not have been awakened in them at all in the routine circumstances, but which here did move them to a fine, natural courtesy."

"Is that clear to you? It is to me. Now in this car the seats at the forward end were all occupied by men, and when I came in none offered his seat to me. But after a while, at a station, a man got up to go out; and when he got up he lapped on my shoulder to indicate the seat he was leaving to me. You have seen men do that? Well I thanked him, with a little inclination of my head—really, I must get over that habit of thanking such men—and took the seat; but I had not thanked any of the men who had moved so that I could look out at the front end of the car, because I knew that it would have marred their pleasure if I had done so. What they had done had been prompted by a desire to give pleasure to me